Coronaviruses can cause respiratory and enteric disease in a wide variety of human and animal hosts. The 2003 outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) first demonstrated the potentially lethal consequences of zoonotic coronavirus infections in humans. In 2012, a similar previously unknown coronavirus emerged, Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV), thus far causing over 650 laboratory-confirmed infections, with an unexplained steep rise in the number of cases being recorded over recent months. The human MERS fatality rate of ~30% is alarmingly high, even though many deaths were associated with underlying medical conditions. Registered therapeutics for the treatment of coronavirus infections are not available. Moreover, the pace of drug development and registration for human use is generally incompatible with strategies to combat emerging infectious diseases. Therefore, we have screened a library of 348 FDA-approved drugs for anti-MERS-CoV activity in cell culture. If such compounds proved sufficiently potent, their efficacy might be directly assessed in MERS patients. We identified four compounds (chloroquine, chlorpromazine, loperamide, and lopinavir) inhibiting MERS-CoV replication in the micromolar range (50% effective concentrations [EC50s], 3 to 8 μM). Moreover, these compounds also inhibit the replication of SARS coronavirus and human coronavirus 229E. Although their protective activity (alone or in combination) remains to be assessed in animal models, our findings may offer a starting point for treatment of patients infected with zoonotic coronaviruses like MERS-CoV. Although they may not necessarily reduce viral replication to very low levels, a moderate viral load reduction may create a window during which to mount a protective immune response.
gence of MERS-CoV. Despite the modest size of this CoV outbreak thus far, the lack of effective methods to prevent or treat coronavirus infections in humans is a serious concern for the control of MERS-CoV or the next zoonotic coronavirus.

Antiviral research in the post-SARS era resulted in the identification of several compounds that may target coronavirus replication directly or modulate the immune response to coronavirus infection. For example, entry inhibitors targeting the coronavirus spike protein were developed (reviewed in reference 19). In addition, several of the replicative enzymes (including both proteases and the helicase) were targeted with small-molecule inhibitors, some of which can inhibit coronavirus infection in cell culture at low-micromolar concentrations (20–26; reviewed in reference 27). Broad-spectrum antiviral agents, like the nucleoside analogue ribavirin and interferon (IFN), were tested for their ability to inhibit SARS-CoV infection and were—to a limited extent—used for the treatment of SARS patients during the outbreak (reviewed in references 28 and 29). In the case of ribavirin, mixed results were reported from studies in different cell lines, animal models, and patients. Also, the merits of treating SARS patients with immunomodulatory corticosteroids have remained a matter of debate (reviewed in references 28–30). For MERS-CoV, partial ribavirin sensitivity was observed in cell culture and in a macaque animal model, but only when using very high doses of the compound in combination with IFN-α2b (31, 32). However, in a small-scale clinical trial, this combination therapy did not benefit critically ill MERS patients (33). Nevertheless, the antiviral effects of type I IFN treatment deserve further evaluation, in particular since MERS-CoV seems to be considerably more sensitive than SARS-CoV (34, 35). Treatment with type I IFNs inhibits SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV replication in cell culture (31, 34–41) and, for example, protected macaques against SARS-CoV (36) or MERS-CoV (32) infection. Based on experiments in cell culture, mycophenolic acid was recently reported to inhibit MERS-CoV infection (41, 42), and we and others showed that low-micromolar concentrations of cyclosporine inhibit coronavirus replication (34, 43–45).

We recently described (34) a high-throughput assay for antiviral compound screening that is based on the pronounced cytopathic effect (CPE) caused by MERS-CoV infection in Vero and Huh7 cells. This assay was now further exploited to screen a library of 348 FDA-approved drugs for their potential to inhibit MERS-CoV replication. Chloroquine, chlorpromazine, loperamide, and lopinavir were found to inhibit MERS-CoV replication in vitro at low-micromolar concentrations. In addition, these molecules appear to be broad-spectrum coronavirus inhibitors, as they blocked the replication of human coronavirus 229E and SARS-CoV with comparable efficacy. Since these compounds have already been approved for clinical use in humans, their anti-MERS-CoV activity merits further investigation, in particular in a small-animal model for MERS-CoV infection, of which a first example has recently been described (46).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture and virus infection. Vero, Vero E6, and Huh7 cells were cultured as described previously (34, 47). Infection of Vero and Huh7 cells with MERS-CoV (strain EMC/2012 [1]) at high or low multiplicity of infection (MOI) and SARS-CoV infection of Vero E6 cells (strain Frankfurt-1 [48]) were done as described before (34). Infection with green fluorescent protein (GFP)-expressing recombinant HCoV-229E (HCoV-229E-GFP [49]) was performed in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM) containing 8% fetal calf serum (FCS), 2 mM l-glutamine (PAA Laboratories), nonessential amino acids (PAA Laboratories), and antibiotics. HCoV-229E-GFP was used to infect monolayers of Huh7 cells at an MOI of 5 as described previously (43). MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV titrations by plaque assay were performed essentially as described before (50). For titrations after high-MOI MERS-CoV infections (MOI of 1), cells were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and the virus titer at 1 h postinfection (p.i.) was determined to correct for the remainder of the inoculum. All work with live MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV was performed inside biosafety cabinets in biosafety level 3 facilities at Leiden University Medical Center or Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, Netherlands.

Screening of an FDA-approved compound library. A library of 348 FDA-approved drugs was purchased from Selleck Chemicals (Houston, TX, USA). Compounds were stored as 10 mM stock solutions in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) at 4°C until use. Compound stocks were diluted to a concentration of 200 or 60 μM in Iscove’s modified Dulbecco’s medium (Life Technologies) containing 1% FCS (PAA Laboratories) and antibiotics. For MERS-CoV studies, Vero cells were seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 2 × 10⁴ cells per well. After overnight incubation of the cells at 37°C, each well was given 50 μl of compound dilution, which was mixed with 100 μl of Eagle’s minimal essential medium (EMEM) containing 2% FCS (EMEM–2% FCS) and 50 μl of MERS-CoV inoculum in EMEM–2% FCS. The MOI used was 0.005, and the final compound concentrations tested were 15 or 50 μM. As a solvent control, a subset of wells was given 0.5% DMSO instead of compound dilution. At 3 days postinfection (dpi), differences in cell viability caused by virus-induced CPE and/or compound-specific side effects were analyzed using the CellTiter 96 AQueous nonradioactive cell proliferation (monotetrazolium salt [MTS]) assay (Promega), as described previously (34). The cytopathic effects of compound treatment were monitored in parallel plates containing mock-infected cells, which were given regular medium instead of virus inoculum.

Compound validation. For validation experiments, we separately reordered chlorpromazine (CPZ; S2456; SelleckChem), lopinavir (LPV; S2456; SelleckChem), and loperamide (LPM; S2480; SelleckChem), which were dissolved in DMSO, and chloroquine (CQ; C6628; Sigma) which was dissolved in PBS. For all compounds, 20 mM stock solutions were stored at −20°C as aliquots for single use. To verify the antiviral effect of CPZ, CPZ, LPM, and LPV on MERS-CoV replication, the assay described above was repeated in 96-well plates using Huh7 cells (10⁴ cells seeded per well on the day before infection), and cell viability was assayed at 2 dpi. Likewise, compounds were tested for their inhibitory effect on SARS-CoV infection at 3 dpi (10⁴ Vero E6 cells seeded per well; MOI, 0.005). For HCoV-229E-GFP infections, 10⁴ Huh7 cells were seeded per well, incubated overnight, and infected at an MOI of 5. Medium containing 0 to 50 μM compound was given 1 h before the start of infection (t = −1), and the compound remained present during infection. HCoV-229E-GFP-infected Huh7 cells were fixed at 24 h p.i., and GFP expression was quantified by fluorometry, as described previously (43).

Statistical analysis. The 50% effective concentration (EC₅₀) and the compound-specific toxicity (50% cytotoxic concentration [CC₅₀]) were calculated with GraphPad Prism 5 software using the nonlinear regression model. The relative efficacy of a compound in specifically inhibiting viral replication (as opposed to inducing cytopathic side effects) was defined as the selectivity index (SI; calculated as CC₅₀/EC₅₀). Statistical analyses were performed using the results of at least two independent experiments.

RESULTS

Screening for FDA-approved compounds with anti-MERS-CoV activity. A primary library screen was performed using a set of 348 FDA-approved drugs that were evaluated for their ability to inhibit the replication of MERS-CoV in Vero cells (for a complete list of compounds tested, see Dataset S1 in the supplemental material) according to a recently published method that employs a
colorimetric cell viability assay to quantify virus-induced CPE (34).

The primary screen resulted in the identification of 11 hits that showed at least 50% inhibition of virus-induced CPE in the absence of cytotoxicity (which was defined as >75% viability in compound-treated mock-infected cultures). Next, these drugs, as well as the earlier reported coronavirus inhibitor chloroquine (51–55), were tested over a broader concentration range (2 to 62.5 μM; see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). In this screen, compounds were considered confirmed hits when they inhibited MERS-CoV-induced CPE by >60% at nontoxic concentrations (defined as >75% remaining viability in compound-treated mock-infected cultures). Following this second round of testing, cilnidipine, fluoxetine HCl, ivermectin, manidipine, oxybutynin, pyrimethamine, rifabutin, and rifapentine were not further retained (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material).

Low-micromolar concentrations of chloroquine, chlorpromazine, loperamide, and lopinavir inhibit MERS-CoV replication. Four compounds were selected for further validation. Chloroquine (CQ) was found to inhibit MERS-CoV replication in a dose-dependent manner with an EC\textsubscript{50} of 3.0 μM (SI, 19.4; Fig. 1A and Table 1). Interestingly, another reported inhibitor of clathrin-mediated endocytosis (56), chlorpromazine (CPZ), was also found to inhibit MERS-CoV-induced CPE (EC\textsubscript{50}, 4.9 μM; SI, 4.3) with a 12 μM dose achieving complete inhibition (Fig. 1B and Table 1). Loperamide (LPM), an antidiarrheal agent, inhibited

![Graphs showing the results (averages and standard deviations [SD]) of a representative experiment that was performed in quadruplicate. All experiments were repeated at least twice. For each compound, the calculated EC\textsubscript{50}, CC\textsubscript{50}, and SI values are given.](image)

**Table 1** Antiviral activity of chloroquine, chlorpromazine, loperamide, and lopinavir against MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV, and HCoV-229E-GFP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>MERS-CoV</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>SARS-CoV</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>HCoV-229E-GFP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EC\textsubscript{50} (μM)</td>
<td>CC\textsubscript{50} (μM)</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>EC\textsubscript{50} (μM)</td>
<td>CC\textsubscript{50} (μM)</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>EC\textsubscript{50} (μM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroquine</td>
<td>3.0 (± 1.1)</td>
<td>58.1 (± 1.1)</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>4.1 (± 1.0)</td>
<td>&gt;128</td>
<td>&gt;31</td>
<td>3.3 (± 1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorpromazine</td>
<td>4.9 (± 1.2)</td>
<td>21.3 (± 1.0)</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>8.8 (± 1.0)</td>
<td>24.3 (± 1.1)</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.5 (± 1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loperamide</td>
<td>4.8 (± 1.5)</td>
<td>15.5 (± 1.0)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>5.9 (± 1.1)</td>
<td>53.8 (± 1.7)</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>4.0 (± 1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lopinavir</td>
<td>8.0 (± 1.5)</td>
<td>24.4 (± 1.0)</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>17.1 (± 1.0)</td>
<td>&gt;32</td>
<td>&gt;2</td>
<td>6.6 (± 1.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a EC\textsubscript{50} and CC\textsubscript{50} values are means (± SD) from a representative experiment (n = 4) that was repeated at least twice. Antiviral activity was determined in Huh7 cells (for MERS-CoV and HCoV-229E-GFP) or VeroE6 cells (for SARS-CoV). See the text for more details.
MERS-CoV-induced CPE with an EC₅₀ of 4.8 μM (Fig. 1C and Table 1) but proved relatively toxic in Huh7 cells. An SI of 3.2 was calculated, and a maximum of 82% inhibition was observed at 8 μM, a concentration that was not cytotoxic. The fourth hit was the human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) protease inhibitor lopinavir (LPV), which was previously shown to inhibit SARS-CoV main protease activity and SARS-CoV replication in vitro (24). LPV inhibited MERS-CoV-induced CPE with an EC₅₀ of 8.0 μM (SI, 3.1; Fig. 1D and Table 1), and a maximal protective effect (89% inhibition) was observed at a dose of 12 μM. Two other MERS-CoV isolates (MERS-HCoV/KSA/UK/Eng-2/2012 and MERS-HCoV/Qatar/UK/Eng-1/2012) (57) were found to be equally sensitive to CQ, CPZ, and LPM while being somewhat less sensitive to treatment with LPV (data not shown).

CQ, CPZ, LPV, and LPM also inhibit replication of SARS-CoV and HCoV-229E. To investigate whether the MERS-CoV inhibitors identified above are potential broad-spectrum coronavirus inhibitors, we assessed their activity against two other coronaviruses: the alphacoronavirus HCoV-229E and the lineage B betacoronavirus SARS-CoV (MERS-CoV belongs to lineage C). All four compounds inhibited SARS-CoV-induced CPE in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 2 and Table 1). For CQ, an EC₅₀ of 4.1 μM was observed (Fig. 2A), which is in line with earlier reports (51, 52). This compound did not affect the metabolism of Vero E6 cells or induce alterations in cell morphology at concentrations of up to 128 μM (CC₅₀ >128 μM; SI, >31). LPM and CPZ blocked SARS-CoV CPE with comparable EC₅₀s (4.8 versus 4.9 μM [Fig. 2B and C]). LPV completely blocked SARS-CoV-induced CPE at 12 μM, with an EC₅₀ of 8.0 μM (Fig. 2D).

Anti-HCoV-229E activity was assessed employing a GFP-expressing recombinant virus, as described previously (43, 49). All four compounds inhibited HCoV-229E-GFP with comparable EC₅₀s (2.5 μM for CQ, 3.2 μM for CPZ, 4.2 μM for LPV, and 6.6 μM for LPM; SI, 6.1, 9.4, 5.7, and 5.7, respectively, respectively).

Time-of-addition experiments suggest that CQ, CPZ, and LPV inhibit an early step in the replicative cycle whereas LPM inhibits a postentry step. Both CQ and CPZ are known inhibitors of clathrin-mediated endocytosis and may thus inhibit MERS-CoV infection at a very early stage. To investigate this, both compounds were added to cells 1 h before (t −1) or after (t +1) infection (MOI, 1). Viral titers were determined at 24 h p.i. by plaque assay (Fig. 4). Virus production was not affected by CQ treatment when the compound was added at 1 h p.i. However, when added prior to infection, 16 and 32 μM concentrations of...
CQ induced an ∼1-log and 2-log reduction in virus production, respectively (Fig. 4A). Comparable results were obtained upon CQ treatment of MERS-CoV-infected Huh7 cells (Fig. 4B). The results were less unambiguous for CPZ: addition 1 h prior to infection led to a ∼2-log reduction of virus progeny titers; however, when added at 1 h p.i., a modest effect (0.5- to 1-log reduction) was observed (Fig. 4C and D), suggesting that the compound may also affect MERS-CoV infection at a postentry stage. Treatment with 16 μM LPM in Vero cells reduced virus production by ∼2 log when added prior to infection, while a 1-log reduction was observed when LPM was added at 1 h p.i. (Fig. 4E). Although this suggests a more pronounced effect early in MERS-CoV replication, this difference was not clearly observed when using Huh7 (compare Fig. 4E and F). Treatment with LPV from t of −1 or +1 h p.i. was equally effective in inhibiting MERS-CoV progeny production (2- to 3-log reduction), suggesting that LPV blocks a postentry step in the MERS-CoV replicative cycle (Fig. 4G to H).

DISCUSSION
The ongoing MERS-CoV outbreak has made it painfully clear that our current options for treatment of life-threatening zoonotic coronavirus infections in humans are very limited. At present, no drug is available for the treatment of any of the human or zoonotic coronaviruses (reviewed in reference 58), despite the extensive research efforts triggered by the 2003 SARS outbreak (reviewed in references 26 and 27). The brevity of that epidemic is a major reason why, thus far, none of the prototypic coronavirus inhibitors was advanced beyond the (early) preclinical stage. Like SARS-CoV a decade ago and MERS-CoV at present, future emerging coronaviruses will likely continue to pose a threat to global public health.
health. Therefore, the search for broad-spectrum inhibitors that may reduce the impact of coronavirus infections in humans remains a challenging research priority. Given the time-consuming nature of antiviral drug development and registration, existing therapeutics for other conditions may constitute the only immediate treatment option in the case of emerging infectious diseases. For most of these drugs, ample experience is available with dosing in humans, and their safety and absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion (ADME) profiles are well known.

At the time of this study, a MERS-CoV infection model in (small) animals was not available. For initial antiviral testing, we therefore used our cell culture-based screening assay (34) to search for compounds that may inhibit MERS-CoV infection. We identified four FDA-approved compounds (chloroquine, chlorpromazine, loperamide, and lopinavir) that inhibit the in vitro replication of MERS-CoV at low-micromolar concentrations (Fig. 1 and Table 1). While for some of these molecules, ample experience is available with dosing in humans, and their safety and absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion (ADME) profiles are well known.

At the time of this study, a MERS-CoV infection model in (small) animals was not available. For initial antiviral testing, we therefore used our cell culture-based screening assay (34) to search for compounds that may inhibit MERS-CoV infection. We identified four FDA-approved compounds (chloroquine, chlorpromazine, loperamide, and lopinavir) that inhibit the in vitro replication of MERS-CoV at low-micromolar concentrations (Fig. 1 and Table 1). While for some of these molecules, ample experience is available with dosing in humans, and their safety and absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion (ADME) profiles are well known.

CQ inhibited MERS-CoV replication with an EC50 of 3.0 μM (Fig. 1A) and blocked infection at an early step (Fig. 4A and B). CQ has a tendency to accumulate in lysosomes, where it sequesters protons and increases the pH. In addition, it interacts with many different proteins and cellular processes, resulting in the modulation of autophagy and the immune response (for a review, see reference 59). CQ has also been reported to inhibit the replication of multiple flaviviruses, influenza viruses, HIV (reviewed in reference 60), Ebola virus (61), and Nipah-Hendra virus (62), as well as several coronaviruses, including SARS-CoV, in cell culture (51–55, 63, 64). Early reports showed that high doses of CQ inhibit an early step of the replication of the coronavirus mouse hepatitis virus (MHV). However, in SARS-CoV-infected BALB/c mice, systemically administered CQ did not result in a significant viral load reduction in the lungs. Intranasal administration of CQ (50 mg/kg of body weight) resulted in a minor reduction of viral titers in the lung (65). When pregnant mice were treated with CQ (at 15 mg/kg), their newborn offspring were protected against a lethal challenge with HCoV-OC43 (54). Likely, the accumulation of CQ in the milk glands, resulting in high drug concentrations in maternal milk, was a major factor in reaching a sufficiently high concentration of the drug in blood plasma. CQ was also shown to inhibit the in vitro replication (EC50, 2 μM) of the feline coronavirus infectious peritonitis virus (FIPV) (55). Treatment of naturally infected cats with CQ resulted in a clinical improvement, which was, however, not attributed to a direct antiviral effect but likely due to the immunomodulatory properties of CQ. These results highlight that, e.g., the drug delivery route, virus strain used, and drug dosage might influence the outcome in animal models. In BALB/c mice, steady-state concentrations of 8 μM in plasma were observed following repeated administration of CQ at 90 mg/kg (61), which is above the EC50 of CQ for inhibition of MERS-CoV-induced CPE in this study. Levels of 9 μM in plasma were observed

FIG 4 Chloroquine, chlorpromazine, loperamide, and lopinavir affect various stages of the MERS-CoV replication cycle. Vero (A, C, E, G) and Huh7 cells (B, D, F, H) were infected with MERS-CoV isolate EMC/2012 (MOI, 1). At t of −1 or +1, the indicated concentrations of CQ (A, B), CPZ (C, D), LPM (E, F), and LPV (G, H) were added, and virus titers in the culture supernatant (n = 4; averages and SDs are shown) were determined at 24 h p.i. using plaque assays; n.d., not detected.
in humans following CQ treatment with 8 mg/kg/day for three consecutive days (66).

The second FDA-approved drug found to block MERS-CoV infection was CPZ, the first antipsychotic drug developed for treatment of schizophrenia (67). CPZ affects the assembly of clathrin-coated pits at the plasma membrane (56) and has been reported to inhibit the replication of alphaviruses (68), hepatitis C virus (69), and the coronaviruses SARS-CoV (70), infectious bronchitis virus (71), and MHV-2 (72). Our time-of-addition studies, however, suggest that CPZ inhibits MERS-CoV replication at both an early and a postentry stage, implying that an effect on clathrin-mediated endocytosis is unlikely to be the sole antiviral mechanism (Fig. 4C and D). CPZ plasma concentrations in patients treated for psychotic disorders range between 0.3 and 3 μM (73), which is somewhat below the observed EC_{50} observed here (which range between 2 and 9 μM).

The replication of MERS-CoV in vitro was also inhibited by LPM, an antidiarrheal opioid receptor agonist that reduces intestinal motility (reviewed in reference 74). LPM also inhibits the replication of two other coronaviruses at low-micromolar concentrations (4 to 6 μM). Upon oral or intravenous administration, the molecule rapidly concentrates in the small intestine. Less than 1% of orally taken LPM is absorbed from the gut lumen, and its tendency to concentrate at the site of action is the probable basis for its antidiarrheal effect (75). This property would very much limit systemic use for the treatment of respiratory coronavirus infections, although administration in the form of an aerosol might be explored. In the veterinary field, it would be interesting to test whether the compound has the potential to inhibit enteric coronaviruses, such as the porcine transmissible gastroenteritis coronavirus.

Finally, the HIV-1 protease inhibitor (PI) LPV was shown to inhibit MERS-CoV replication with EC_{50} of about 8 μM, which is in the range of the LPV concentrations in plasma (8 to 24 μM) that have been observed in AIDS patients (76). LPV was previously shown to block the SARS-CoV main protease (M^{prace}) (24). This is somehow unexpected, since the retro- and coronavirus proteases belong to different protease families (the aspartic and chymotrypsin-like protease families, respectively). Since MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV are relatively closely related, LPV may also target the M^{prace} of MERS-CoV. However, several anti-HIV PIs are also known to influence intracellular pathways leading to side effects in patients undergoing highly active antiretroviral therapy, including lipodystrophy and insulin resistance (77). The exact cellular targets of these PIs have not yet been identified, and most likely multiple pathways are involved. It remains to be investigated if the effect of LPV on these intracellular pathways is associated with the anti-CoV activity found here. Interestingly, no selective anti-CoV activity was found for two other HIV PIs in the compound library (atazanavir and ritonavir; see Dataset S1 in the supplemental material). During the SARS outbreak, treatment with LPV, in combination with ritonavir, was explored with some success in non-randomized clinical trials (for reviews, see references 78 and 79).

The efficacy of the most promising compounds identified in this study, CQ and LPV, should now be evaluated in (small-) animal models for MERS-CoV infection, which are still in development. In a nonhuman primate model (macaques), only mild clinical signs developed, in contrast to the frequently severe clinical outcome in humans (80, 81). Unfortunately, Syrian hamsters (82), BALB/c mice (83), and ferrets (84) were found to resist MERS-CoV infection. A very recent study (46) reported that mice can be rendered susceptible to MERS-CoV infection by prior transduction with a recombinant adenovirus that expresses human DPP4, a documented receptor for MERS-CoV entry (10). Subsequent MERS-CoV infection resulted in severe pneumonia and high MERS-CoV titers in the lungs (46). Despite some practical and conceptual limitations, this model may provide a useful starting point for further evaluation of inhibitors of MERS-CoV infection.

In 2003, the \( \sim 10\% \) mortality rate among SARS patients was one of the major reasons for the worldwide public unrest caused by the emergence of SARS-CoV. Clearly, and despite the recent sharp increase in the number of registered cases (5), the course of the MERS-CoV outbreak has been quite different thus far. Although only about 650 laboratory-confirmed cases have been registered in the 2 years that have passed since the first documented human infections, in particular the \( \sim 30\% \) mortality rate within this group remains a grave concern. In this context, efficacious anticonvirus drugs, administered alone or in combination, can constitute an important first line of defense. It typically takes over 10 years to develop a newly discovered molecule and obtain approval for clinical use. To the best of our knowledge, there are currently no potent and selective coronavirus inhibitors in (early or advanced) preclinical development. Hence, drugs that have been registered for the treatment of other conditions and that also inhibit MERS-CoV replication might be used (off-label) in an attempt to save the life of MERS patients. A combination of two or more of such drugs may cause a modest reduction in viral load, which might aid to control viral replication, slow down the course of infection, and allow the immune system to mount a protective response. In an accompanying paper by Dyall et al. (85), CQ and CPZ were identified as inhibitors of the MERS-CoV as well. Follow-up studies will include in-depth mechanism of action studies, including resistance development of MERS-CoV against the compounds identified. Furthermore, the efficacy of combinations of two or more of these drugs will be explored, also in combination with interferon. In particular, CQ and LPV may constitute valuable candidates for further testing in animal models or direct off-label use, since the concentrations needed to inhibit viral replication in cell culture are in the range of the concentrations that can be achieved in human plasma.
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